

- Barnett, K. M., Woodfield, R., & Conlon, R. A. (2025). Juror Characteristics and Decision Making in a Developed Coercive Control Case. *Behavioral Sciences*, 15(6). <https://doi.org/10.3390/bs15060803>
- Brysbaert, M. (2019). How Many Participants Do We Have to Include in Properly Powered Experiments? A Tutorial of Power Analysis with Reference Tables. *Journal of Cognition*, 2(1), 1-38. <https://doi.org/10.5334/joc.72>
- Cheng, Y., & Chen, Z. F. (2020). The Influence of Presumed Fake News Influence: Examining Public Support for Corporate Corrective Response, Media Literacy Interventions, and Governmental Regulation. *Mass Communication and Society*, 23(5). <https://doi.org/10.1080/15205436.2020.1750656>
- Cullen, H. J., Dilevski, N., Nitschke, F. T., Ribeiro, G., Brind, S., & Woolley, N. (2024). The impact of misinformation presented during jury deliberation on juror memory and decision-making. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 15. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2024.1232228>
- Curley, L. J., Murray, J., MacLean, R., Munro, J., Lages, M., Frumkin, L. A., Laybourn, P., & Brown, D. (2022). Verdict spotting: investigating the effects of juror bias, evidence anchors and verdict system in jurors. *Psychiatry, Psychology and Law*, 29(3), 323-344. <https://dx.doi.org/10.1080/13218719.2021.1904450>
- Daftary-Kapur, T., Dumas, R., & Penrod, S. D. (2010). Jury decision-making biases and methods to counter them. *Legal and Criminological Psychology*, 15(1), 133–154. <https://doi.org/10.1348/135532509x465624>
- Dartnall, S., & Goodman-Delahunty, J. (2006). Enhancing Juror Understanding of Probabilistic DNA Evidence. *Australian Journal of Forensic Sciences*, 38(2), 85–96. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00450610609410635>
- Doyen, S., Klein, O., Simons, D. J., & Cleeremans, A. (2014). On the Other Side of the Mirror: Priming in Cognitive and Social Psychology. *Social Cognition*, 32, 12–32. <https://doi.org/10.1521/soco.2014.32.suppl.12>
- Eitam, B., & Higgins, E. T. (2010). Motivation in Mental Accessibility: Relevance of a Representation (ROAR) as a New Framework. *Social and Personality Psychology Compass*, 4(10), 951–967. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1751-9004.2010.00309.x>
- Errickson, D., Fawcett, H., Thompson, T. J. U., & Campell, A. (2019). The effect of different imaging techniques for the visualisation of evidence in court on jury comprehension. *International Journal of Legal Medicine*, 134(4), 1451–1455. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00414-019-02221-y>
- Fein, S., Morgan, S. J., Norton, M. I., & Sommers, S. R. (1997). Hype and Suspicion: The Effects of Pretrial Publicity, Race, and Suspicion on Jurors' Verdicts. *Journal of Social Issues*, 53(3), 487-502. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1540-4560.1997.tb02124.x>
- Ferguson, M. J., & Mann, T. C. (2014). Effects of Evaluation: An Example of Robust “Social” Priming. *Social Cognition*, 32, 33–46. <https://doi.org/10.1521/soco.2014.32.suppl.33>
- Franks, M. (2022). *The Effects of pre-trial Publicity on the Predictions of Duration of Jury Deliberation*. [Master’s thesis, University of Central Oklahoma]. ProQuest Dissertations and Theses Global. <https://www.proquest.com/openview/6c0d99da6069c60a280af870e9aa3736/1?pq-origsite=gscholar&cbl=18750&diss=y>
- Havrylets, Y., & Bielinska, I. (2025). The Role of Priming Theory in the Media Effects Research Paradigm. *Scientific Notes of the Institute of Journalism*, 86(1), 63-73. <https://doi.org/10.17721/2522-1272.2025.86.5>
- Higgins, E. T., & Eitam, B. (2014). Priming...Shmiming: It’s About Knowing When and Why Stimulated Memory Representations Become Active. *Social Cognition*, 32, 225–242. <https://doi.org/10.1521/soco.2014.32.suppl.225>
- Hoetger, L. A., Devine, D. J., Brank, E. M., Drew, R. M., & Rees, R. (2022). The impact of pretrial publicity on mock juror and jury verdicts: A meta-analysis. *Law and Human Behavior*, 46(2), 121–139. <https://doi.org/10.1037/lhb0000473>
- Hope, L., Memon, A., & McGeorge, P. (2004). Understanding Pretrial Publicity: Predecisional Distortion of Evidence by Mock Jurors. *Journal of Experimental Psychology*, 10(2), 111-119. <https://doi.org/10.1037/1076-898X.10.2.111>
- Molden, D. C. (2014). Understanding Priming Effects in Social Psychology: What is “Social Priming” and How does it Occur?. *Social Cognition*, 32, 1–11. <https://doi.org/10.1521/soco.2014.32.suppl.1>
- Ruva, C. L., Guenther, C. C., & Yarbrough, A. (2011). Positive and Negative Pretrial Publicity: The Roles of Impression Formation, Emotion, and Predecisional Distortion. *Criminal Justice and Behavior*, 38(5), 511-534. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0093854811400823>
- Ruva, C. L., McEvoy, C. & Becker Bryant, J. (2007). Effects of Pre-Trial Publicity and Jury Deliberation on Juror Bias and Source Memory Errors. *Applied Cognitive Psychology*, 21, 45-67. <https://doi.org/10.1002/acp.1254>
- Ruva, C. L., & McEvoy, C. (2008). Negative and Positive Pretrial Publicity Affect Juror Memory and Decision Making. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Applied*. 14(3), 226-235. <https://doi.org/10.1037/1076-898x.14.3.226>
- Shadish, W. R., Cook, T. D., & Campbell, D. T. (2002). *Experimental and quasi-experimental Designs for Generalized Causal Inference*. Wadsworth Cengage Learning. <https://iaes.cgiar.org/sites/default/files/pdf/147.pdf>
- Wallace, W. A. (2015). *The Effect of Confirmation Bias in Criminal Investigative Decision Making* [Doctoral dissertation, Walden University]. ProQuest Dissertations and Theses Global. <https://www.proquest.com/dissertations-theses/effect-confirmation->